MUSIC, Selective Pulses, and Tuned Delays: Amino Acid Type-Selective $^1$H–$^{15}$N Correlations, II
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Amino acid type-selective experiments help to remove ambiguities in either manual or automated assignment procedures. Here we present modified triple-resonance experiments that yield amino acid type-selective $^1$H–$^{15}$N correlations. They are based on the MUSIC coherence transfer scheme which replaces the initial INEPT transfer and is selective for $^1$H–$^{15}$N or $^1$H–$^{13}$C. Signals of the desired amino acid types are thus selected based on the topology of the side chain. MUSIC is combined with selective pulses and carefully tuned delays to create experiments for Ser (S–HSQC); Val, Ile, and Ala (VIA–HSQC); Leu and Ala (LA–HSQC); Asp, Asn, and Gly (DNG–HSQC), as well as Glu, Gln, and Gly (EQG–HSQC). The new experiments are recorded as two-dimensional spectra and their performance is demonstrated by their application to two protein domains of 83 and 115 residues. © 2001 Academic Press
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INTRODUCTION

In the past decade triple-resonance experiments (1, 2) have been routinely used for the assignment of protein spectra. This is mainly due to their high sensitivity, their intrinsically good resolution, and their independence from the three-dimensional structure of the molecule under investigation. Moreover, the ease of interpretation makes these spectra ideally suited for automated or semi-automated assignment procedures (3–7). Many variations and extensions of the original triple-resonance schemes have been presented (8–14), among them amino acid type-selective experiments (15–36) that yield specific information which is helpful in manual or automated assignment procedures. Most assignment protocols use a $^{15}$N–HSQC spectrum as a starting point and correlate the ($^1$H,$^{15}$N) frequency pairs with carbon or proton frequencies in a third dimension to achieve a sequence-specific assignment.

We have recently presented a set of 12 amino acid type-selective $^1$H–$^{15}$N correlations (37) that were designed to support this assignment strategy. These experiments are based on the MUSIC (multiplicity selective in-phase coherence transfer) pulse sequence building block (38, 39), which accomplishes a coherence transfer to or from the heteronucleus, selective for $^1$H$_2$ or $^1$H$_3$ (where $X$ is either $^{15}$N or $^{13}$C). It selects multiplicity via multiple-quantum filters and offers superior suppression of unwanted signals compared to sequences which work solely by the appropriate tuning of delays to select for the number of coupling partners or the use of selective pulses. When MUSIC is implemented in the appropriate triple-resonance experiment, the signals of the desired amino acid types are selected based on the topology of the side chain. MUSIC does not lengthen the sequence compared to the standard triple-resonance experiments, therefore no loss of intensity occurs due to relaxation.

Here we extend the set of these pulse sequences by another 10 amino acid type-selective $^1$H–$^{15}$N correlations based on the same principle, selective for Ser (S–HSQC); Val, Ile, and Ala (VIA–HSQC); Leu and Ala (LA–HSQC); Asp, Asn, and Gly (DNG–HSQC), as well as Glu, Gln, and Gly (EQG–HSQC). As in the previous set, 5 of the 10 experiments represent the ($i + 1$) version and the other 5 the ($i$, $i + 1$) version of an amino acid type-selective $^1$H–$^{15}$N correlation. The latter experiments are somewhat less sensitive and can potentially contain two signals per residue, of which the ($i + 1$) will usually be weaker. Coherence selection with the MUSIC step is now combined with the use of selective pulses. Thus the chemical shifts of the carbon atoms in the side chain are used as additional criteria for the selection of an amino acid. The resulting spectra exhibit the clean selection of the MUSIC step enhanced by exploiting the high degree of dispersion of the side chain carbon resonances. They are applied to two different protein domains, the SAM domain from EphB2 (40, 41) and the EVH1 domain from VASP (42, 43), the structures of which have been determined using NMR spectroscopy. The first is a small, $\alpha$-helical domain of 83 residues and the second a larger, 115-residue domain with a high $\beta$-sheet content.

RESULTS

To create the new experiments, the MUSIC step was implemented in standard triple-resonance pulse sequences. The magnetization transfer pathways selected by the resulting pulse
sequences are depicted in Fig. 1. At the beginning of the sequence a particular group (CH₂ or CH₃, indicated by the hatched rectangle) is selected with MUSIC. Subsequently, the magnetization is transferred along the side chain to the Cα carbon and finally to the amide proton, as indicated by the arrows. The two types of pulse sequences differ in the transfer from the Cα to the nitrogen and amide proton. The right column represents the (i, i + 1)-HSQCs, where the magnetization flows from the Cα to either the nitrogen of the same amino acid or that of the (i + 1) neighbor. In the case of Asp/Asn and Glu/Gln a HMQC-type filter for the side chain carbonyls is implemented at the beginning.

The implementation of a MUSIC-CH₂ selection into the beginning of the CBCA(CO)NNH (44) or CBCANNH (45) experiment would select all amino acids with a methylene group as Cβ (all except Thr, Ile, and Val) and Gly. Serine, however, is the only one of those amino acids that has a Cβ with a unique chemical shift between 60 and 70 ppm (46). This fact can be exploited by the use of selective pulses to create serine-selective experiments. The pulse sequences are shown in Figs. 2a and 2b. Both carbon 180° pulses in the beginning of the sequence are replaced by REBURP pulses (47) with a length of 2048 µs centered at 60.5 ppm. They cover a range of 18 ppm and affect both the serine Cα and the Cβ. The Cβ magnetization of the other amino acids selected by MUSIC is, however, unperturbed by the REBURP pulses while the Cα is inverted. Since no coupling between Cβ and Cα will thus evolve, no magnetization can be transferred to the Cα and hence to the NH. The Gly Cα magnetization is also unperturbed by the REBURP pulses. This prevents the evolution of coupling between the Cα and the Cβ in the (i + 1) version of the experiment and hence the magnetization transfer to the NH. The same is true for the (i, i + 1) version of the experiment, where the evolution of coupling between the Cα and the N is prevented. The resulting two-dimensional spectra are shown in Figs. 3a and 3b.

Asp, Asn, Gly (DNG)

The Cβ of Asp and Asn, the Cβ of Glu and Gln, and the Cα of Gly are the only CH₂ groups that show a coupling to an adjacent carbonyl carbon. This fact can be used to create further amino acid type-selective experiments. The MUSIC-CH₂ selection is therefore combined with an HMQC type sequence (48, 49) and both are implemented in the CBCA-(CO)NNH (44) and the CBCANNH (45) experiment. The resulting pulse sequences are shown in Figs. 2c and 2d. The flow of magnetization is best described using product operators: During $\Delta_1$ antiphase magnetization $2C_\beta^{\beta}C_\gamma^{\gamma}$ is created (point a). A selective 90° pulse affecting only the carbonyl region converts it into multiple-quantum coherence $2C_\beta^{\beta}C_\gamma^{\gamma}$, which is selected by alternating the phase of the consecutive, selective 90° carbonyl pulse. This pulse converts the multiple-quantum coherence back to $2C_\beta^{\beta}C_\gamma^{\gamma}$ magnetization which is refocused during the second $\Delta_1$ delay. Self-refocusing L0S2-0 pulses (50, 51) with a duration of 512 µs (covering a range of 72 ppm) centered at 185 ppm were used. During $2\Delta_1$, the coupling between Cβ and Cα can evolve and antiphase magnetization $2C_\beta^{\beta}C_\gamma^{\gamma}$ is created (point b). The next 90° carbon pulse converts it into $2C_\beta^{\beta}C_\gamma^{\gamma}$ which is refocused during $2\Delta_1$ (or $2\Delta_2$). The magnetization is then transferred from the Cγ to the

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the magnetization pathway selected by the new experiments. First a particular group (CH₂ or CH₃, indicated by the hatched rectangle) is selected with the MUSIC sequence. From there the magnetization is transferred along the side chain to the Cα carbon and finally to the amide proton, as indicated by the arrows. The two types of pulse sequences differ in the transfer from the Cα to the nitrogen and amide proton. The right column represents the (i, i + 1)-HSQCs, where the magnetization flows from the Cα to either the nitrogen of the same amino acid or that of the (i + 1) neighbor. In the case of Asp/Asn and Glu/Gln a HMQC-type filter for the side chain carbonyls is implemented at the beginning.
amide proton. The resulting transfer functions for the experiments in Figs. 2c and 2d are

\[ \sin^2(\pi J_{CCCG}\Delta_{1})\sin(\pi J_{CCCG}\Delta_{2})\sin(\pi J_{CCCG}\Delta_{3}) = 0 \]  

\[ \sin^2(\pi J_{CCCG}\Delta_{1})\sin(\pi J_{CCCG}\Delta_{2})\sin(\pi J_{CCCG}\Delta_{3}) = 0 \]  

Neglecting relaxation effects, the nominal values for \( \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \) and \( \Delta_3 \) are 8.2, 5.3, and 9 ms, respectively. An experimental optimization resulted in a value of 4.5 ms for \( \Delta_2 \). Since there is only one COSY transfer step, magnetization from the \( C^1 \)Glu and Gln cannot be transferred to the amino proton and is suppressed. Magnetization from the \( C^2 \) of Gly is not affected by the 90° pulse after point b. Coupling between the \( C^1 \) and \( C^2 \) (or N) will evolve during 2\( \Delta_1 \) (or 2\( \Delta_2 \)) and magnetization is transferred to the amide proton. The resulting spectra will thus contain signals originating from Asp, Asn, and Gly and are shown in Figs. 4a and 4b.

**Glu, Gln, Gly (EQG)**

An extension of the DNG pulse sequences by an additional COSY step on carbon leads to a selection of Glu and Gln in addition to Asp, Asn, and Gly (Figs. 2c and 2d).

In analogy to the DNG experiments, antiphase magnetization 2C\( ^1 \)C\( ^2 \) is created, selected, and refocused during 2\( \Delta_1 \), while coupling between the \( C^1 \) and \( C^2 \) is active and antiphase magnetization 2C\( ^1 \)C\( ^2 \) is created (point b). It is converted into 2C\( ^1 \)C\( ^2 \) by the next 90° carbon pulse. During 2\( \Delta_2 \) antiphase magnetization 2C\( ^1 \)C\( ^2 \) is refocused and coupling to \( C^2 \) leads to antiphase magnetization 2C\( ^1 \)C\( ^2 \) (point c). The next 90° carbon

**FIG. 2.** Pulse sequences of the new amino acid type-selective \( ^1 \)H–\(^15\)N correlations. The pulse sequences yield spectra for Ser (a and b), Asp/Asn/Gly or Glu/Gln/Gly (c and d), and Ile/Val/Ala or Leu/Ala (e and f). The 90 and 180° pulses are represented by thin black filled and thick unfilled bars, respectively. The water-selective 90° \( ^1 \)H flip-back pulse is represented by a striped thick bar; a hatched thin bar stands for a 90° flip-back \( ^1 \)H pulse at the end of the \( ^1 \)H-detecing sequence. Magnetic field gradients as well as shaped 180° \(^13\)CO pulses are represented by sine shapes. Pulses applied at the \(^13\)CO or \(^15\)N resonance frequencies were adjusted to provide a null at the corresponding \( ^1 \)H or \(^13\)C frequencies. The square \( ^1 \)H and \(^13\)CO 90° and 180° pulses were set to 49 and 44 ms, respectively. The square \( ^1 \)H and \(^13\)CO 90° and 180° pulses were set at 54 and 108 ms, respectively. The shaped 180° \(^13\)CO were applied as a G3 Gaussian cascade (54) with a duration of 256 ms. The striped thick bars stands for band-selective 180° REBURP pulses (47). The offsets and pulse lengths are given in the description of the particular experiments. Self-refocusing selective LOS2-0 excitation pulses (50, 51) with a duration of 512 ms at 185 ppm are represented by filled sine shapes. The second LOS2-0 pulse has a time-inverted shape. Unless indicated otherwise, pulses are applied with phase x. Proton hard pulses were applied with 25-kHz field strength; WALTZ-16 (55) of \( ^1 \)H spins was achieved using a field strength of 3.1 kHz. The same field strength was used for the subsequent 90° flip-back \( ^1 \)H pulse. The water-selective 90° square pulse had a duration of 1 ms. GAPR-1 decoupling (56) of \(^15\)N was achieved using a field strength of 830 Hz. Water suppression was obtained using WATERGATE implemented with a 3–9–19 pulse (45).
pulse creates antiphase magnetization \(2C_b^\beta C_a^\alpha\) which is refocused during \(2\Delta_1\) (or \(2\Delta_3\)). From the \(C_a^\alpha\) the magnetization is transferred to the amino proton in the usual manner.

The resulting transfer functions for the experiment EQG-(\(i, i+1\)) in Fig. 2c is

\[
\sin^2(\pi J_{C\alpha} \Delta_1) \sin(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_1) \sin^2(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_2) \\
\times \sin(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_1) \sin(\pi J_{C\beta} 2\Delta_3). \tag{3}
\]

In addition, there are two possible magnetization pathways for Asn and Asp in this experiment: \(C_b^\alpha\) remains in-phase with respect to \(C_a^\alpha\) during \(2\Delta_1\) and is converted into \(C_a^\alpha\) magnetization during \(2\Delta_2\) and \(2\Delta_3\) or \(C_a^\alpha\) is already formed at the end of \(2\Delta_2\) and remains in-phase with respect to the \(C_b^\beta\) during \(2\Delta_3\). The resulting transfer functions are

\[
\sin^2(\pi J_{C\alpha} \Delta_1) \cos(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_1) \\
\times \sin(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_2) \sin(\pi J_{C\beta} 2\Delta_3) \sin(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_3). \tag{4}
\]

\[
\sin^2(\pi J_{C\alpha} \Delta_1) \sin(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_1) \\
\times \sin(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_2) \sin(\pi J_{C\beta} 2\Delta_3) \cos(\pi J_{CC} 2\Delta_3). \tag{5}
\]

Analyzing transfer function [3] nominal delays for \(\Delta_1, \Delta_2,\) and \(\Delta_3\) are 8.2, 7.1, and 5.3 ms, respectively, to obtain optimal signal to noise for Glu and Gln. With these delays the signals of Gly, Glu, and Gln have opposite sign to those of Asn and Asp. This can result in cancellation of signals if peaks from both types of amino acids overlap. To avoid this, the delays \(\Delta_1\) and \(\Delta_3\) can be tuned such that signals from Asp and Asn are suppressed. The two pathways [4] and [5] cancel if \(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 = 1/2J_{CC} = 14.2\) ms. Neglecting relaxation and the length of the two selective \(C^\prime\)-pulses, optimal delays for Glu and Gln are then \(\Delta_1 = 8.7\) ms, \(\Delta_3 = 5.5\) ms. In an experimental optimization with the EVH1 domain the suppression of signals form Asp and Asn worked best with \(\Delta_1 = 9\) ms, \(\Delta_3 = 4.5\) ms, and \(\Delta_3 = 4.5\) ms.

The transfer functions for the EQG-(\(i, i+1\)) pulse sequence in Fig. 2d are similar to [3], [4], and [5], only \(\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \) and \(J_{CC}\) are replaced by \(\Delta_4\) and \(J_{CN}\), leading to the nominal values for \(\Delta_1, \Delta_2,\) and \(\Delta_4\) of 8.2, 7.1, and 9 ms, respectively. As in the \((i+1)\) sequence, these delays will lead to opposite sign for signals from Gly, Glu, and Gln relative to those from Asn and Asp. Cancellation will then occur not only if peaks from both types of amino acids overlap but also if amino acids of both types are adjacent in the sequence. Using the condition \(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4 = 1/2J_{CC} = 14.2\) ms for the suppression of signals form Asn and Asp, optimal delays are \(\Delta_1 = 7.1\) ms, \(\Delta_4 = 7.1\) ms. The result of an experimental optimization was \(\Delta_1 = 7.1\) ms, \(\Delta_2 = 4.5\) ms, and \(\Delta_4 = 7.1\) ms.
Asp and Asn cannot always be totally suppressed, however, since $J_{CC}$ can vary and some small negative signals appear in the spectra, which are shown in Figs. 4c and 4d.

Val, Ile, Ala (VIA)

The implementation of a MUSIC–CH$_3$ sequence in the CBCA(CO)NNH and CBCANNH sequences that have been extended by a relay step results in a selection of Val, Ile, Thr, and Ala, the TAVI–HSQC (37). A further selection is possible by the implementation of selective pulses into the pulse sequences, which are shown in Figs. 2e and 2f. One option is to replace the 180° pulse $S_1$ by a 1024-$\mu$s REBURP pulse (covering a range of 36 ppm) centered at 68.5 ppm, which results in a spectrum containing Thr and Ala (37). A Val/Ile/Ala (VIA) selective experiment can be obtained in a similar manner by replacing the 180° pulse $S_1$ by a REBURP pulse of 768-$\mu$s (covering a range of 48 ppm) which is centered at 26.7 ppm. It will affect the magnetization of the C$_d$ and C$_g$ of both Val and Ile but not the C$_b$ magnetization of Thr. The transfer of magnetization from C$_d$ to C$_b$ in Thr and thus the transfer to the C$_a$ in the next COSY step will not be effective anymore, hence Thr is suppressed. Spectra are shown in Figs. 5a and 5b.

Leu, Ala (LA)

To create an experiment which is selective for Leu, the two δ-CH$_3$ groups are selected with a MUSIC–CH$_3$ selection. Then the magnetization is transferred along the side chain to the backbone C$_a$. This could be achieved by several COSY steps or by a TOCSY transfer. We choose the first option since it offers the possibility of further differentiating between desired and undesired magnetization transfer pathways by selective pulses and by appropriate tuning of delays. The recently published TAVI experiments were used as a starting point and an additional COSY step was implemented (Figs. 2e and 2f). This allows the magnetization from Leu C$_d$ to reach the backbone NH. Without an additional selection, however, the resulting spectra (not shown) contain signals originating from Leu, Val, Ile, Thr, and Ala. A combination of selective pulses and appropriately tuned delays was used to suppress Thr, Val, and Ile and thus further simplify the spectra. Three selective REBURP pulses were implemented in the pulse sequence ($S_1$, $S_2$, and $S_3$ in Figs. 2c and 2d). Their excitation profile is shown in Fig. 6 together with the statistical chemical shifts of the amino acids involved (46). The REBURP pulse $S_1$ had a length of 1024-$\mu$s and was centered at 18.5 ppm. The pulse affects both the C$_d$ and the C$_g$ of Leu during $2\tau_i$. Antiphase magnetization $2\delta C_d'C_g'$ is created and converted by a 90° pulse to $2C_g'\delta C_d'$ (point a). The second REBURP pulse $S_2$ at 35 ppm (with a length of 768-$\mu$s) affects the C$_a$, C$_d$, and C$_b$ of Leu. Antiphase magnetization $2C_b'\delta C_d'$ is refocused with respect to the C$_d'$ and evolves into antiphase magnetization $2C_d'\delta C_b'$ during $2T_{1k}$ (point b). During the same time the magnetization of interest stays in-phase with respect to the other C$_a$. This antiphase magnetization $2C_d'\delta C_b'$ is converted to $2C_b'\delta C_d'$ and
refocused during $2T_R$ while coupling to the $\alpha$-carbon leads to $2C^\alpha C^\gamma$ (point c). This is converted into $2C^\gamma C^\delta$ and is refocused during $T_C$ (or $\delta$, i) because the third REBURP pulse $S$, at 48 ppm (with a length of 1024 $\mu$s) allows coupling between Leu $C^\gamma$ and $C^\delta$. Taken together, this results in the following transfer functions for Leu:

$$\sin(\pi J_{CC}2\tau')\sin^2(\pi J_{CC}2T'_R)$$

$$\times \cos(\pi J_{CC}2T'_R)\sin^2(\pi J_{CC}2T_R)\sin(\pi J_{CC}2T_C)$$  \[6\]

$$\sin(\pi J_{CC}2\tau')\sin^2(\pi J_{CC}2T'_R)$$

$$\times \cos(\pi J_{CC}2T'_R)\sin^2(\pi J_{CC}2T_R)\sin(\pi J_{CC}2\delta_i).$$  \[7\]
The Thr-C$^\beta$ is not affected by any of the three selective pulses. The magnetization C$_x^\gamma$ can therefore not evolve into antiphase magnetization with respect to the C$^\beta$ during 2$\tau_1$ and 2$\tau_2$. During 2$\tau_R$ the antiphase magnetization 2C$_x^\gamma$C$^\beta$ is created and converted into 2C$_x^\gamma$C$^\beta$ by the next 90° pulse. This antiphase magnetization is not refocused during $T_C$ (or $\delta_i$). No magnetization is therefore transferred to C$^\alpha$ and Thr is suppressed.

The VIA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC was acquired in 2 h 15 min using 48 scans per complex point. (b) The VIA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC was acquired in 2 h 15 min using 96 scans per complex point. The SAM domain contains 7 threonines, 6 isoleucines, 6 valines, and 5 alanines. In the VIA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC (a) all expected signals of Val/Ile neighbors appear (only five Ile-$i_1$ can appear since Ile-4 has a proline as a sequential neighbor). In the VIA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC (b) all signals from Val and Ile are visible. Thr signals are well suppressed in both experiments. Alanine signals are marked with thin rectangles. The Ala signals can be identified easily using the A-$i$-$i_1$ and A-$i$-$i_1$ experiments. (c) The LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC was acquired in 2 h 15 min using 48 scans per complex point. (d) The LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC was acquired in 2 h 15 min using 96 scans per complex point. The SAM domain contains 5 leucines. In the LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC (c) signals from sequential neighbors of 4 Leu are present together with the sequential neighbors of Ala (marked with rectangles); D18 (L17) is absent. Since the suppression of Val/Ile/Thr is only based on appropriate tuning of $T_R$ together with selective pulses, the suppression is not perfect. Weak negative or positive signals of Val/Ile/Thr could appear (marked with *). In the LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC (d) four Leu signals are visible; L17 is absent. All signals of Ala-residues (marked with thin rectangles) and their sequential neighbors are present. The $(i + 1)$ peaks are marked with thick rectangles. They can be identified by comparison with the LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC. Again the suppression of Thr/Ala/Val is not perfect and the signal of T3 is visible.

FIG. 5. Amino acid type-selective $^1$H–$^{15}$N correlations of the SAM domain from EphB2. (a) The VIA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC was acquired in 2 h 15 min using 48 scans per complex point. (b) The VIA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC was acquired in 4 h 30 min using 96 scans per complex point. The SAM domain contains 7 threonines, 6 isoleucines, 6 valines, and 5 alanines. In the VIA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC (a) all expected signals of Val/Ile neighbors appear (only five Ile-$i_1$ can appear since Ile-4 has a proline as a sequential neighbor). In the VIA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC (b) all signals from Val and Ile are visible. Thr signals are well suppressed in both experiments. Alanine signals are marked with thin rectangles. The Ala signals can be identified easily using the A-$i$-$i_1$ and A-$i$-$i_1$ experiments. (c) The LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC was acquired in 2 h 15 min using 48 scans per complex point. (d) The LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC was acquired in 4 h 30 min using 96 scans per complex point. The SAM domain contains 5 leucines. In the LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC (c) signals from sequential neighbors of 4 Leu are present together with the sequential neighbors of Ala (marked with rectangles); D18 (L17) is absent. Since the suppression of Val/Ile/Thr is only based on appropriate tuning of $T_R$ together with selective pulses, the suppression is not perfect. Weak negative or positive signals of Val/Ile/Thr could appear (marked with *). In the LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC (d) four Leu signals are visible; L17 is absent. All signals of Ala-residues (marked with thin rectangles) and their sequential neighbors are present. The $(i + 1)$ peaks are marked with thick rectangles. They can be identified by comparison with the LA-$i$-$i_1$–HSQC. Again the suppression of Thr/Ala/Val is not perfect and the signal of T3 is visible.
We have presented a set of new pulse sequences that yield amino acid type-selective $^1$H–$^{15}$N correlations. Together with the recently published selective $^1$H–$^{15}$N correlations, we now have a set of 22 experiments which allow us to identify the $^1$H–$^{15}$N resonances of Gly, Ala, Thr, Ile/Val, Asn, Gln, Ser, Leu, Asp, and Glu residues and their sequential neighbors in a $^{15}$N-HSQC spectrum. Some of the pulse sequences yield spectra that contain signals of only one type of amino acid (G, A, S, N). The other amino acids can then be identified from combinations of these spectra with others containing more than one type of amino acid: Thr from A and TA spectra, Val and Ile from A and VIA spectra, Leu from A and LA spectra, Q from N and NQ spectra, D from G, N, and DNG spectra, and finally E from N, NQ, G, and EQG spectra. The signals from Val and Ile cannot be distinguished, although the signals from Val tend to be stronger since two CH$_3$ groups contribute to the resulting peak.

The implementation of MUSIC into triple-resonance experiments does not lengthen the sequence, therefore no loss of intensity due to relaxation is observed. While the CH$_3$ selection reduced the intensity of the peaks by 25%, no reduction occurs with the CH$_2$ selection. Consequently, the sensitivity of the selective experiments is comparable to that of the standard triple-resonance experiments from which the new sequences have been derived: The sequences transferring the magnetization to the amide proton via the C" exhibit lower sensitivity
than those that transfer via the carbonyl carbon; the sequences with multiple relay steps are less sensitive than those with just one transfer-step. The most sensitive experiment is therefore the G–(i + 1)-HSQC while the LA- and the NQ–(i, i + 1)-HSQC are the least sensitive experiments. When the proteins become too large for standard triple-resonance, the selective experiments will fail as well. Most of the sequences will not work with deuterated proteins, since the selection with MUSIC assumes fully protonated side chains. Exceptions are the N and the NQ experiments that select for NH2 groups. We also applied the LA– and the VIA–HSQCs to a protein sample with methyl protonation (52, 53). Here the sequences work well (data not shown) and can provide useful information.

The two-dimensional spectra presented here may be used in addition to the conventional three-dimensional spectra to aid either manual or automated assignment. These spectra take only a small amount of spectrometer time and provide valuable additional information. A problem accompanying the use of these experiments in automated assignment procedures is the presence of spurious signals from nonselected residues. The type of amino acid that will give rise to breakthrough peaks is known beforehand, however, and they can be identified from comparison with other spectra. Breakthrough peaks of Asn/Asp in the EQG spectra can be identified with the DNG spectra and breakthrough peaks of Val/Ile/Thr in the LA experiments with the VIA and TA spectra. Different combinations of spectra will be evaluated with our automated assignment program catch23 (7) and results will be presented elsewhere.

EXPERIMENTAL

The spectra were recorded on a DRX600 in standard configuration using an inverse triple-resonance probe equipped with three-axis self-shielded gradient coils. Two different protein domains were used for the experiments. The DNG and EQG experiments were recorded with a 1.35 mM sample of the EVH1 domain from VASP uniformly labeled with 15N and 13C. For all other experiments a 1.5 mM sample of the SAM domain from EphB2 (PDB: 1SGG) uniformly labeled with 15N and 13C was used. For both samples 5-mm ultraprecision sample tubes were used. The HSQC spectra of both domains are shown in Fig. 7 as a comparison for the spectra shown in Figs. 3, 4, and 5. The HSQC of the SAM domain (Fig. 7a) was recorded with 512 complex points in each dimension, a spectral width of 3012 Hz (15N) × 10,000 Hz (1H), and 8 transients. All other spectra were recorded in an identical way but with 64 (t1) × 512 (t2) complex points. The data were processed using a squared sinebell shifted by 90° as a window function in both dimensions. The 15N t1 interferograms were quadrupled in length by linear prediction using XWINNMR, except for the spectrum of the SAM domain. The final spectra had a size of 512 (t1) × 1024 (t2) real points. The pulse programs and the L0S2-0 shape in Bruker format are available upon request.
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